UNITED STATES DISTCIT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

PATRICIA D. BROWN,)
Plaintiff,)
V.) No. 1:08-cv-02833
ORIZON DIAGNOSTICS, LLC and THE INGALLS MEMORIAL HOSPITAL, Defendants.))))

AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, PATRICIA D. BROWN, by her counsel, Trent A. McCain of McCAIN LAW OFFICES, P.C., complaining of Defendants ORIZON DIAGNOSTICS, LLC and THE INGALLS MEMORIAL HOSPITAL, alleges and says:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, PATRICIA D. BROWN ("Brown"), is a citizen of the United States and a resident of Gary, Lake County, Indiana. She is an African American. Plaintiff's date of birth is October 7, 1943.
- 2. Defendant, ORIZON DIAGNOSTICS, LLC ("Orizon"), is a voluntarily dissolved limited liability company with its principal place business, at all times relevant, in Cook County, Illinois.
- 3. Defendant, THE INGALLS MEMORIAL HOSPITAL ("Ingalls"), is a Not For Profit corporation organized under the laws of the State of Illinois. Ingalls' principal place of business is located at 1 Ingalls Drive, Harvey, Cook County, Illinois.

4. At all times material hereto, Defendants were engaged in an industry affecting commerce and had 20 or more employees for each working day in each of 20 or more calendar weeks in the then current or proceeding calendar year.

BACKGROUND FACTS

- 5. Beginning on July 17, 2006, Brown was employed by Orizon as a cytotechnologist.
- 6. Prior to that date, Brown was employed with Orizon's predecessor, Ingalls Memorial Hospital, in its Cytology Department.
- 7. At all times, Brown performed all of the duties assigned her in a professionally competent manner, faithfully followed all reasonable instructions given her by her supervisors, and abided by all the rules and regulations of the Defendants.
 - 8. On August 29, 2006, Orizon discharged Brown.
 - 9. At the time of her discharge, Brown was sixty-two (62) years of age.
- 10. On or about December 28, 2007, Orizon dissolved and its operation reverted back to the predecessor, Ingalls. Thus, Ingalls is Orizon's successor, as well.

COUNT I: AGE DISCRIMINATION v. ORIZON

- 1-10. Plaintiff restates and realleges paragraphs 1-10 above as paragraphs 1-10 of Count I, as though fully recited herein.
- 11. This action is brought for violations of The Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1974 ("ADEA"), 29 U.S.C. § 621, et seq. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1343 (a)(4) and 1331, because this complaint is filed for a violation of Federal Law, 29 U.S.C. § 623 (a)(1).

- 12. Brown's discharge was motivated by Orizon's intent to discriminate against Brown on the basis of her age.
- 13. Brown's discharge had the effect solely of discrimination by Orizon against Brown on the basis of her age.
- 14. By discharging Brown, Orizon violated the provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 623(a)(1), which make it unlawful for an employer "to discharge any individual or otherwise to discriminate against any individual with respect to his compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, because of such individual's age."
- 15. On or about October 17, 2006, Brown filed a charge alleging unlawful discrimination on the basis of age against Orizon with the Illinois Department of Human Rights, as required by 29 U.S.C. § 626(d). (Attached hereto as Exhibit A.)
- 16. On February 19, 2008, Brown received a letter, dated February 8, 2008, from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") notifying her that the EEOC was terminating its processing of the charge and that she had ninety (90) days to file suit. (Attached hereto as Exhibit B.)
- 17. Proximately, directly, and solely as a result of Orizon's discrimination against Brown on account of her age, Brown has suffered damages consisting of loss of salary and other compensation, and injuries from embarrassment, humiliation, and anxiety.
- 18. Orizon's conduct complained herein was willful, malicious, oppressive, wanton, and heedlessly in disregard of Brown's rights, and accordingly Brown is entitled to recover both punitive damages and statutory liquidated damages of Orizon.

19. Brown is suffering continuing and immediate irreparable injury, for which she has no adequate remedy at law, by being deprived of her position of employment with Orizon, so that she is entitled to injunctive order of reinstatement.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, PATRICIA D. BROWN, prays the Court will:

- A. Enter an injunction against Ingalls, Orizon's successor in liability, ordering it to reinstate Brown as an employee, and thereafter not to discriminate against her on the basis of her age, or alternatively that Brown be awarded front pay.
- B. Award Brown all monetary damages to which she is entitled, including compensatory damages, punitive damages, and statutory liquidated damages.
- C. Award Brown reasonable attorneys' fees and the costs of this action, to be taxed against the Defendant.
- D. Grant Brown such other relief as to the Court may seem just and proper.

COUNT II: RACE DISCRIMINATION v. ORIZON

- 1-10. Plaintiff restates and realleges paragraphs 1-10 of Count I as paragraphs1-10 of Count II, as though fully recited herein.
- 11. This action is brought for violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq.* and 42 U.S.C. § 1981. Jurisdiction of this Court is founded upon 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)(3) and (4). This Court has supplementary jurisdiction over the common law claim pled in this complaint.
- 12. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) as, on information and belief, the Defendants reside in this District and the facts giving rise to this cause of action occurred in this District.

- 13. By virtue of the foregoing, Orizon has subjected Brown to discrimination in the terms and conditions of her employment based upon her race in violation of Title VII and 42 U.S.C. § 1981.
- 14. As a result of this violation, Brown has suffered emotional distress, humiliation, degradation, her job, and other damages of both a pecuniary and non-pecuniary nature.
- 15. In light of Orizon's failure to take any remedial action at all, its conduct is willful and malicious warranting the imposition of punitive damages.
- 16. On or about October 17, 2006, Brown filed a charge alleging unlawful discrimination on the basis of race against Defendant with the Illinois Department of Human Rights, as required by 29 U.S.C. § 626(d). (Attached hereto as Exhibit A.)
- 17. On February 19, 2008, Brown received a letter, dated February 8, 2008, from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") notifying her that the EEOC was terminating its processing of the charge and that she had ninety (90) days to file suit. (Attached hereto as Exhibit B.)

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, PATRICIA D. BROWN, prays the Court will:

- A. Enter an injunction against Ingalls, Orizon's successor in liability, ordering it to reinstate Brown as an employee, and thereafter not to discriminate against her on the basis of her race, or alternatively that the Brown be awarded front pay.
- B. Award Brown all monetary damages to which she is entitled, including compensatory damages, and punitive damages.
- C. Award Brown reasonable attorneys' fees and the costs of this action, to be taxed against Orizon.
- D. Grant Brown such other relief as to the Court may seem just and proper.

COUNT III: AGE DISCRIMINATION v. INGALLS

- 1-10. Plaintiff restates and realleges paragraphs 1-10 above as paragraphs 1-10 of Count III, as though fully recited herein.
- 11. This action is brought for violations of The Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1974 ("ADEA"), 29 U.S.C. § 621, *et seq.* Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1343 (a)(4) and 1331, because this complaint is filed for a violation of Federal Law, 29 U.S.C. § 623 (a)(1).
- 12. Brown's discharge was motivated by Ingalls' predecessor intent to discriminate against Brown on the basis of her age.
- 13. Brown's discharge had the effect solely of discrimination by Ingalls' predecessor against Brown on the basis of her age.
- 14. By discharging Brown, Ingalls' predecessor violated the provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 623(a)(1), which make it unlawful for an employer "to discharge any individual or otherwise to discriminate against any individual with respect to his compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, because of such individual's age."
- 15. On or about October 17, 2006, Brown filed a charge alleging unlawful discrimination on the basis of age against Ingalls' predecessor with the Illinois Department of Human Rights, as required by 29 U.S.C. § 626(d). (Attached hereto as Exhibit A.)
- 16. On February 19, 2008, Brown received a letter, dated February 8, 2008, from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") notifying her that the EEOC was terminating its processing of the charge and that she had ninety (90) days to file suit. (Attached hereto as Exhibit B.)

- 17. Proximately, directly, and solely as a result of Ingalls' predecessor's discrimination against Brown on account of her age, Brown has suffered damages consisting of loss of salary and other compensation, and injuries from embarrassment, humiliation, and anxiety.
- 18. Ingalls' predecessor's conduct complained herein was willful, malicious, oppressive, wanton, and heedlessly in disregard of Brown's rights, and accordingly Brown is entitled to recover both punitive damages and statutory liquidated damages of Ingalls' predecessor.
- 19. Brown is suffering continuing and immediate irreparable injury, for which she has no adequate remedy at law, by being deprived of her position of employment with Orizon, so that she is entitled to injunctive order of reinstatement.
 - 20. Ingalls is a successor in liability.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, PATRICIA D. BROWN, prays the Court will:

- A. Enter an injunction against Ingalls ordering Ingalls to reinstate Brown as an employee, and thereafter not to discriminate against her on the basis of her age, or alternatively that the Brown be awarded front pay.
- B. Award Brown all monetary damages to which she is entitled, including compensatory damages, punitive damages, and statutory liquidated damages.
- C. Award Brown reasonable attorneys' fees and the costs of this action, to be taxed against Ingalls.
- D. Grant Brown such other relief as to the Court may seem just and proper.

COUNT IV: RACE DISCRIMINATION v. INGALLS

1-10. Plaintiff restates and realleges paragraphs 1-10 of Count II as paragraphs1-10 of Count IV, as though fully recited herein.

- 11. This action is brought for violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq.* and 42 U.S.C. § 1981. Jurisdiction of this Court is founded upon 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)(3) and (4). This Court has supplementary jurisdiction over the common law claim pled in this complaint.
- 12. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) as, on information and belief, the Defendants reside in this District and the facts giving rise to this cause of action occurred in this District.
- 13. By virtue of the foregoing, Ingalls' predecessor has subjected Brown to discrimination in the terms and conditions of her employment based upon his race in violation of Title VII and 42 U.S.C. § 1981.
- 14. As a result of this violation, Brown has suffered emotional distress, humiliation, degradation, her job, and other damages of both a pecuniary and non-pecuniary nature.
- 15. In light of Defendants' failure to take any remedial action at all, their conduct is willful and malicious warranting the imposition of punitive damages.
- 16. On or about October 17, 2006, Brown filed a charge alleging unlawful discrimination on the basis of race against Ingalls' predecessor with the Illinois Department of Human Rights, as required by 29 U.S.C. § 626(d). (Attached hereto as Exhibit A.)
- 17. On February 19, 2008, Brown received a letter, dated February 8, 2008, from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") notifying her that the EEOC was terminating its processing of the charge and that she had ninety (90) days to file suit. (Attached hereto as Exhibit B).

18. Ingalls is a successor in liability.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, PATRICIA D. BROWN, prays the Court will:

- A. Enter an injunction against Ingalls ordering it to reinstate Brown as an employee, and thereafter not to discriminate against her on the basis of her race, or alternatively that the Brown be awarded front pay.
- B. Award Brown all monetary damages to which she is entitled, including compensatory damages, and punitive damages.
- C. Award Brown reasonable attorneys' fees and the costs of this action, to be taxed against Ingalls.
- D. Grant Brown such other relief as to the Court may seem just and proper.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY.

Respectfully submitted,

PATRICIA D. BROWN

/s Trent A. McCain

Plaintiff's Attorney

Trent A. McCain McCain Law Offices, P.C. 5655 Broadway Merrillville, IN 46410 (219) 884-0696 phone (219) 884-0692 fax TAM@McCainLawOffices.com

CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	AGENCY	CHARGE NUMBER			
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974: See Privacy act state before completing this form.	ment 🛭 IDHR	2007CA 1319			
07W0907.15		2007CA () ()			
	EEOC_				
Illinois Department of H	luman Rights and E	EOC			
NAME (indicate Mr. Ms. Mrs.)		HONE (include area code)			
Patricia D. Brown	(219) 985-13				
STREET ADDRESS 234 West 46 th Avenue CITY, STATE AND Z Gary, IN 46408	P CODE	10/07/43			
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLO	DYMENT AGENCY, APP	RENTICESHIP COMMITTEE, STATE			
OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED NAME NUMBER OF E		THAN ONE LIST BELOW) TELEPHONE (Include area code)			
Orizon Diagnostics MEMBERS 15-		(630) 321-1566			
STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND	ZIP CODE	COUNTY			
102 East Chestnut Avenue Westmont, IL 60	559	DuPage			
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON:		DATE OF DISCRIMINATION			
AGE RACE		EARLIEST (ADEA/EPA) LATEST (ALL)			
		08/29/06			
THE PARTICULARS ARE (if additional space is needed attach extra shee	l	CONTINUING ACTION			
I. A. ISSUE/BASIS					
DISCHARGE – AUGUST 29, 2006, BASED ON MY RACE, BLACK					
B. PRIMA FACIE ALLEGATIONS 1. My race is black. 2. My job performance met Respondent's legitimate expectations. Respondent assumed me as an employee on July 17, 2006, when they assumed responsibility of					
B. PRIMA FACIE ALLEGATIONS 1. My race is black. 2. My job performance met Resp assumed me as an employee or	1. My race is black.				
2. My job performance met Resp					
assumed me as an employee on July 17, 2006, when they assumed responsibility of					
the Cytology Department at Ingalls Hospital.					
Continued					
I also want this charge filed with the EEOC. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or telephone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.	SUBSCRIBED AND ST	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME ON THIS LAUGUM MALLE 10-17 2006			
NOTARY SIGNATURE MONTH DATE-YEAR					
LE JUANA MCGEE Lake County My Commission Expires January 3, 2014 LE JUANA MCGEE SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT DATE					
NOTARY SEAL		nat the foregoing is true and correct I swear or e above charge and that it is true to the best of my nd belief			

Complainant: Patricia D. Brown

Charge Number: 2007CA

Page 2

- 3. On July 17, 2006, I was placed on probation. On August 29, 2006, Respondent discharged me. The reason given by Respondent for my discharge was that I failed to perform at an acceptable level as a primary screening Cytotechnologist during my probationary period.
- 4. Respondent put a non-black, less experienced individual in my position.

II. A. ISSUE/BASIS

DISCHARGE - AUGUST 29, 2006, BASED ON MY AGE, 62

В. PRIMA FACIE ALLEGATIONS

- 1. My age at the time of my discharge was 62.
- 2. My job performance met Respondent's legitimate expectations. Respondent assumed me as an employee on July 17, 2006, when they assumed responsibility of the Cytology Department at Ingalls Hospital.
- 3. On July 17, 2006, I was placed on probation. On August 29, 2006, Respondent discharged me. The reason given by Respondent for my discharge was that I failed to perform at an acceptable level as a primary screening Cytotechnologist during my probationary period.
- 4. Respondent put a younger, less experienced individual in my position.

MEE/JJT

EEOC Form 161-B (3/98)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

	Noti	CE OF RIGHT TO	SUE (ISSUED ON	N REQUEST)		
To: Patricia D. Brown 234 W 46th Ave Gary, IN 46408			From:	Chicago District Office 500 West Madison St Suite 2800		
CERT	TIFIED MAIL 7099 3400 0014	4054 3171		Chicago, IL 60661		
	On behalf of person(s) aggrieve CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §160	d whose identity is 1.7(a))				
EEOC Charg	ge No.	EEOC Represent	ative	Telephone No.		
		Armernola P.	Smith,	rotopitatic (40.		
21B-2007	-00380	State & Local	Coordinator	(312) 886-5973		
NOTICE TO TH	E PERSON AGGRIEVED:		(See also ti	he additional information enclosed with this form.)		
the ADA mu	st be filed in a federal or sta e lost. (The time limit for filing	ate court <u>WITHIN 90 C</u> suit based on a state c	AYS of your receipt aim may be different.)	(ADA): This is your Notice of Right to Sue, issued ed at your request. Your lawsuit under Title VII or of this notice; or your right to sue based on this		
	More than 180 days have pa					
	Less than 180 days have passed since the filing of this charge, but I have determined that it is unlikely that the EEOC will be able to complete its administrative processing within 180 days from the filing of this charge.					
X	The EEOC is terminating its processing of this charge.					
	The EEOC will continue to pr	ocess this charge.				
your case:	ination in Employment Act (ADEAN: You may out .	inder the ADEA at any in the charge. In this r	r time from 60 days after the charge was filed until egard, the paragraph marked below applies to		
X	The EEOC is closing your ca 90 DAYS of your receipt of	se. Therefore, your law this Notice. Otherwise	vsuit under the ADEA is your right to sue base	must be filed in federal or state court <u>WITHIN</u> ed on the above-numbered charge will be lost.		
	The EEOC is continuing its handling of your ADEA case. However, if 60 days have passed since the filing of the charge, you may file suit in federal or state court under the ADEA at this time.					
Equal Pay Ad in federal or s any violation	ct (EPA): You already have the state court within 2 years (3 ye as that occurred more than 2	e right to sue under the ars for willful violations) years (3 years) before	EPA (filing an EEOC c of the alleged EPA ur you file suit may no	harge is not required.) EPA suits must be brought nderpayment. This means that backpay due for t be collectible.		
f you file suit,	based on this charge, please s	end a copy of your cour	t complaint to this office	e.		
		(On behalf of the Comm	nission		
Englosussa	N	John P. Ro	we	2/8/2008		
Enclosures(s)	, j	ohn P. Rowe, istrict Director	(Date Mailed)		
cc: O	RIZON DIAGNOSTICS LLC					



Home | Help | Sign In

Track & Confirm

FAQs

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: 7099 3400 0014 4054 3171

Status: Delivered

Your item was delivered at 1:52 PM on February 19, 2008 in WAUKEGAN, IL 60085.

Track & Confirm

Enter Label/Receipt Number.

Go >

Notification Options

Track & Confirm by email

Get current event information or updates for your item sent to you or others by email. (60>)

Site Map

Contact Us

Forms

Gov't Services

Jobs

Privacy Policy

Terms of Use

National & Premier Accounts

Copyright© 1999-2007 USPS. All Rights Reserved.

No FEAR Act EEO Data FOIA

i heddd Lagorddol Llocarriog (Collardd)

🍞 lingspoins Komenz. Paramolégy hánga ka